

Split of the Community due to the Corona Immunisation Delusion

777th Contact from Saturday, 11th of September 2021

Ptaah Greetings, dear friend, Eduard. Today I come here because I want to talk to you about certain things that are wrong with the way the Swiss leader, Federal Councillor Alain Berset, is breaking his word and acting contrary to what he promised the Swiss people. You as a citizen of this state should speak openly about what is not right. It i...

Billy Sorry, but I don't want to interfere in politics, because it is too dirty for me to want to concern myself with it. For me it is going too far to get involved in this dirty business more than by saying what is done right and what is done wrong. But I don't want to concern myself with it directly, and certainly not with any particular person. But welcome and greetings. It is really unusual that you are here at such an early hour.

Ptaah You are right to not want to concern yourself with politics in that form and only speak about it generally and without naming names, but I find that in this case, in which case I address the entirety of the occurrences, you ought to pursue everything attentively and raise your voice because it confirms your finding that certain federal leaders in your homeland are incapable of leading the country. That will also be seen in foreign countries and besides – how do you always put it – this Federal Councillor Berset ‘apes’ that which the inadequate leaders in foreign countries unrightly do for their citizens, instead of ordering that which is right. That alone which I have seen in the email inbox in your computer, which Achim ... sent to you from Germany, and alone that which I have followed of the Swiss politics, shows me clearly that you with your longsightedness think in the right form in regard to the inability of the majority of the state leaders of the Earth and of the peoples.

Billy Aha. I did not know that you look through the emails sent to me in my computer. But I however do not officially concern myself with politics and indeed also not when under some circumstances I say my opinion in regard to certain political things.

Ptaah You probably do that for your protection?

Billy No. It is my firm opinion and attitude.

Ptaah As we also follow the machinations which are contrived against you in the background, such as those of D... G... and your..., as well as those of your..., who unfortunately had the same visions in your office as my daughter Semjase, Professor Bender, Silvano, Engelbert, his wife Maria, Hans Benz, Elsi Moser, Amata und Olga, Marcel Hirt, who thereby additionally broke a rib, and various other persons.

Billy Terrible visions. – Yes, I know, it lasted until the 1990s when the ‘Andromedans’ came here and put an end to the haunting, as they already had done in the cellar where Bernadette was just able to photograph the helmet of a one of them. Also Engelbert was ‘saved’ from them – Engelbert who for years every two or three weeks dashed up into the attic with the loaded Winchester rifle, but never discovered who caused the racket and therefore went away again, after which calm prevailed again – indeed for 2 or 3 weeks after which the theatre with the rumbling started again in the middle of the night and Engelbert newly ‘saddled’ his Winchester. Regarding that I want to just explain what a vision actually is and that such a one, among other things, can be observed also as a fluidal power appearance which is not recognised as such, rather is experienced as genuine:

As a vision, the term comes from the Latin 'visio' = 'appearance' or 'sight' and expresses a subjective pictorial experience that is recognised sensually – i.e. visibly or in terms of belief – but is not effectively observable in the form of tangibility. This, however, leads up to imagination through personal fantasy and is usually assumed to be real, whereby, however, through inner and outer influences – such as indoctrinating persuasions etc. – the effect of 'true experience' arises, consequently the difference between vision and reality becomes blurred and the sensual becomes the object of the imagination of effective reality and truth.

As explained, vision comes from the Latin 'visio' or German 'Erscheinung'(apparition) or ‘Anblick’ (sight) and is experienced as a subjective pictorial experience of something sensual that cannot be perceived. However, it is something experienced that appears real to the visionary person. With religious human beings, everything occurs in religious belief, namely that the visionary influence is due to an otherworldly might, which of course is absolute nonsense, because the reality is that everything is based solely on deposited fluidal energies that

human beings have left behind, which remain effective for a long time after the human beings have moved away or died – often centuries or millennia, depending on their strength. Depending on their nature, these then manifest themselves in such a form that they can be completely harmless, aggressive-provocative, neutral or pretending, and so forth.

Ptaah I could not have explained the whole thing better. But to whom do I say that, because ...

Billy It's alright, you do not have to continue talking. It is already clear what you want to say; because what you want to say is clear anyway and one can refrain from that.

Ptaah Then back to that which I spoke of to begin with. Whether you want it or not, there is something to say about that, namely just that it is very regrettable that such kind of responsible ones of the state rule mightily over the peoples. And it is an irresponsible control of those who prefer those who crawl on knees without will before their superiors, do not think for themselves and ...

Billy ... for everything have "Yes and Amen" on their lips, as soon as the superior one says and demands something, because they lie at their feet, not thinking for themselves and being in bondage to them. And that applies especially to those female and male Swiss who are believers – especially believing in regard to the governors and the diverse religions – instead of thinking for themselves and making their own decisions and acting accordingly. But when one is not capable of that, only utters big words and slimily accepts and does that which is dictated from above, then it is hopeless. It is also no use to them when in cowardice, in the background or for the sake of getting into someone's good books, they want to ingratiate themselves with mendacious words with those who think differently, because in their miserable basic attitude they remain the same cowards, false yes-men and slaves to the superiors without their own opinion. For that which I say and which corresponds to the truth the secret service or "Federal Security" will pester me again as well as all those who are too cowardly to form their own opinion about it, publicly support it and say that which would be right and correct for a government leadership.

These are the ones who are only 'good' and 'righteous' citizens – or want to be – on the outside; any, said in plain German, who profit from but hound those who are really the good and righteous – the real citizens – and give them hell. These honestly go about their hard work every day and execute it without grumbling, in order to thereby keep the life and the country high above the water. However, the others who are only profiteers, make hell for the working ones and make their life difficult. They are the ones who in their innermost self are cowards, ungood ones as well as unrighteous ones. They are the ones who deceive the country and live at the expense of the health of the workers who daily go down the tunnel for the sake of a meagre payment and 'cripple' themselves to death – and have to pay more and more taxes, fines and fantastic levies to the authorities, because those who come to the 'helm' of government want to memorialise themselves with these new fantasy incomes for the 'common good'. And nobody resists it because the people are obedient to the superiors and do what the authorities of the government order and do, because the common people do not trust themselves to say and do what is right and what their very own duty would be as a free people.

With the government in Switzerland I only know one power who really works for the wellbeing of the people, and that is the one who, with intellect and rationality, has responsibility for the finances, whose work however is made null and void again by unrighteous ones. That is that which I have to say from my view, also if it is certainly not that which you want to say because you certainly have something else on your tongue. But I was bitten by the bug, consequently I just had to say what I think and see, when I consider that which goes on here in our country.

Ptaah I certainly did not want to say that, but it expresses somewhat that which was on my tongue, as you say. Actually, I wanted to say that it must also be heeded that the profiteering plays an important role with everything, and that the leadership of the country are not immune from that.

Billy I assume this is less the case with the Swiss government, but it is more likely to be the case with some members of the authorities. But in my opinion the governors and their henchmen are rather purchasable with the underhanded promises of the EU, that is to say, the European dictatorship, which 'blesses' its contracts with deceit and does the dirty on Switzerland with them. Our Federal Council is unfortunately not immune to that, and besides, there are elements with it and in its environment – as I know personally from you – who flirt with the EU; unfortunately, as I must say. Unfortunately, parties also have a friendly attitude towards the EU and are also ready to sell off Switzerland as quickly as possible to the European dictatorship in a treasonous form.

Ptaah You see, you are interested in politics even if you do not want to talk about it.

Billy That is only that which I inevitably notice, because I take an interest daily in what goes on in the world. Thus I also learn what goes on in Bern, in Switzerland and in the EU as well as in the world. In this sense you can talk of an interest, but it goes no further for me when I disregard the fact that I have thoughts about it which I normally keep to myself because I keep away from talking about it with other human beings, therefore from talking politics.

Ptaah I know that, but now open your emails in your computer and have a look what Achim has sent, which you have obviously not looked through.

Billy OK. There are the emails.

Ptaah See these here. You shall include these with our conversation. These here, these and these – these also, and these. More may not be required to clarify how it really is and what it is actually about.

Why is there graphene oxide in the Covid vaccines, what else is in them and who is responsible?

uncut-news.ch, September 9, 2021

Dr Robert Young spoke to Stew Peters EXCLUSIVELY, about his past and his findings after examining the vials from Pfizer, Moderna, J&J and AstraZeneca containing the 'secret' contents of COVID syringes called 'vaccines'.

Microscopy expert Robert Young analysed vaccines from Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca and Janssen and found chloride, sodium, but also graphene oxide. His investigations also revealed that the vials contained nanoparticles consisting of magnesium oxide and aluminium oxide.

"That's the most worrying thing. These are the most toxic," Dr Young said on the Stew Peters Show. He explained that other research has shown that such oxides are 'cytotoxic and genotoxic'. In other words, they damage the body's cells and DNA.


He also found parasites called trypanosomes in Pfizer's vaccine. "They may claim that it is a contamination that occurred during production, but this type of parasite is not normally found here."

He said he has also studied flu vaccines in the past and that oxides in nano form have been added to vaccines since 2016. "The Russians, Chinese and Americans have been researching this for some time," he said.

World population could decrease by 10 to 15 per cent as far as B.G. is concerned

Who is behind it? Young quoted Bill Gates, who stated during a TED Talk in 2010 that in his opinion the world's population could decline by 10 to 15 per cent.

Seventy to eighty per cent of the particles end up in the reproductive organs, Young said. "In the woman, this leads to sterilisation, in the man it leads to reduced sperm quality."



Video by <https://rumble.com/vm7iyp-dr.-robert-young-whats-in-covid-shots-why-graphene-who-is-responsible.html>
 Source: <https://uncutnews.ch/warum-befindet-sich-graphenoxid-in-den-covid-impfungen-was-ist-sonst-noch-drin-und-wer-ist-dafuer-verantwortlich/>

Guest article

The media agitation is getting out of hand



Isn't that Marco Rima with a hooked nose, a hunchback and a crazy-greedy look? A caricature that uses the stereotypical stylistic devices of anti-Semitic propaganda. It is the temporary climax of a media smear campaign against the unvaccinated that has been escalating in recent weeks.
Benjamin Kilchör on 6th of September 2021

The cartoon can be found in the 'Blick' on 5 September 2021 in an article entitled 'These are the five types of vaccination refusers'. The Marco Rima type is presented as 'the freak' and given attributes such as 'ethnic thinking', 'radicalised', 'hallucinogenic late effects', 'pathological' and 'rage citizen'.

What makes a newspaper like Blick give free rein to such hatred and further divide society? Probably the conviction to fight for the good and against the evil. It is precisely this conviction that propaganda in totalitarian systems thrives on – the more evil the enemy to be fought is, the easier it is to legitimise harsh means of combating it – and it is worrying that these means of propaganda are increasingly finding their way into many of Switzerland's leading media.

The fact that this does not come out of nowhere but is the preliminary culmination of a development, can be reconstructed from the last few weeks. For a long time, the media have been waiting for incidents at the weekly demonstrations that could serve the enemy image of violent government critics. After the Zurich health director Natalie Rickli was doused with apple juice by a citizen obviously dissatisfied with her politics at the inauguration of a vaccination bus on 21 August, things were ready to start: The 'willingness of vaccination sceptics and opponents of measures to resort to violence' is increasing, claims 'Blick' on 26 August, citing 'the apple juice attack on Natalie Rickli' as proof. Of course, part of the media's agitation is first and foremost the generalisation that draws conclusions about everyone from an individual case.

Federal Councillor Alain Berset gratefully accepts this sentiment and on 27 August has the anti-terrorist unit 'Scorpion' accompany him into the arena, where he faces critical questions from the population. 'Blick' spoke of a 'high-risk appearance in the SRF Arena'. On 28 August, after the Federal Intelligence Service told the 'NZZaS' that vaccination centres were realistic targets for terrorist attacks – referring to Islamists – '20min', in agreement with several other daily newspapers, ran the following headline on 29 August: "By vaccination opponents and Islamists: FIS considers attacks on vaccination centres likely". Although the FIS did not speak of vaccination opponents, '20min' even puts them ahead of the Islamists in the headline. Reason? None.

Hubert Mooser also asks on 29 August in 'weltwoche-daily': "Health Minister Berset has an anti-terror unit accompany him to the 'Arena': Are opponents of vaccination already considered terrorists?" Various other media have practically already answered this question in the affirmative on the same day. Mooser's conclusion: "How political opponents are turned into violent extremists is something we have only seen in countries like Belarus, for example."

So when the media write about the unvaccinated, they are dealing with potential terrorists, with extremists ready to use violence. The editorial in the 'SonntagsZeitung' of 15 August can hardly be interpreted differ-

ently. Title: "Now Berset must finally force opponents to vaccinate". More verbal violence is almost impossible. The unvaccinated are openly described as 'opponents' who must be 'forced'. By what means, then? Author Denis von Burg writes: "The Federal Council and the cantons must now exert all possible pressure on those who refuse vaccination. The taboo of compulsory vaccination, be it direct or even indirect, must fall now." In an open letter, Michael Bubendorf, media spokesman for the 'Friends of the Constitution', asks on 1 September: "Mr von Burg, what does 'every possible pressure' mean?" How far is Denis von Burg prepared to go? Where does the conceivable end and the unthinkable begin?

The online newspaper with the titled 'nau' announced demonstrations on 27 August in Brig and Schaffhausen as 'Corona riots'. Wikipedia defines a riot as follows: "An insurrection, sometimes also called a popular uprising, rebellion or insurrection, is in the narrow sense an open, violent resistance by several people against a state authority. This means, on a case-by-case basis, an armed act of resistance against an existing government; the preliminary form of an insurrection is often street battles in centres of government and population".

Source: <https://www.dieostschweiz.ch/artikel/die-mediale-hetze-schaukelt-sich-hoch-KvAqNJ6>



What is the Federal Council's word worth? "... even if the willingness to vaccinate remains low".

The population of Switzerland was promised the onset of the normalisation phase under certain conditions. These conditions have been fulfilled. But now the opposite is happening. What is the value of the word of the national government?

Stefan Millius, 8th. September 2021

One can and should get upset about the unrestrainedly oversized federal administration financed by the taxpayer. We often do, too. However, it has one advantage: whatever is decided and said is documented somewhere. Officially, irrevocably.

We remember the three-phase model of the Federal Council, once meaningfully introduced. We all stared at the last point: the normalisation phase, being when life is supposed to go back to the way it was before. Whether this life was 'normal' or not is another question, but still: business as usual.

When exactly should this normalisation phase have occurred? Let's take the federal government at its word: "Once all adult persons willing to be vaccinated have been fully vaccinated, the normalisation phase begins. (...) The remaining measures (access and capacity restrictions) are to be gradually lifted. This strategy should also be maintained if, contrary to expectations, the population's willingness to be vaccinated remains low."

A clear word. A verifiable one. Today, on 8 September 2021, one can soberly conclude: anyone who felt like getting vaccinated up to this point could do so. And how high the corresponding quota is should not matter. At least, if you believe the Federal Council.

What is happening instead? We are facing an expansion of social discrimination. The argument for this is allegedly overcrowded intensive care units. That is a topic in itself, we have dealt with it here. But more importantly, where exactly is the 'normalisation phase' linked to ICUs? The Federal Council has clearly stated that access and capacity restrictions depend on whether all adults willing to be vaccinated are fully vaccinated. Full stop. Period. Finish.

Only that no longer applies. Just like that. In front of running cameras, the current infection rate, combined with the very questionable utilisation rate of intensive care units, was elevated to the new decisive criterion.

Who defined this? Who decided this? Why can a three-phase plan, originally set in stone, suddenly be so watered down? Why can new criteria be invented when the old ones do not give what one would like?

We should not expect an answer to that. But as a reminder, before the Federal Council strikes the big blow: it once defined something completely different as a strategy. It is ignoring its own criteria. It itself is making a waste of what it has decided.

Everyone who wants to is vaccinated. The normalisation phase should now occur. You don't even have to discuss that. That is simply the way it is. Anything that happens past that is not legitimised in any way. But it is accepted.

Source: <https://www.dieostschweiz.ch/artikel/-auch-wenn-die-impfbereitschaft-tief-bleibt-YrPjL1J>

Guest commentary Certified people

With the Covid 19 certificate, there are now two classes of human beings. The good guys who obey the Federal Office of Public Health and the bad guys who prolong the pandemic and belong excluded from society. Where is the resistance?

Giuseppe Gracia, 10th of September 2021



Those who do not get vaccinated are not showing solidarity and should be punished with social disadvantages, preferably staying at home until they realise what fools they are. Those who do not get vaccinated are to blame for the next pandemic wave, the one after that and the one after that. Such bad people, like the virus itself, must be fought and locked up. Only certified people should be allowed to enjoy all basic rights. What a few months ago would have been considered the talk of a conspiracy theorist is now a fact. Many people in Switzerland who have their certainties delivered to their living rooms by Swiss television or any other media that is friendly to the state are probably of the opinion that the Covid 19 certificate is a good thing and rightly punishes those who still haven't grasped what the hour has come to. It is high time to vaccinate so that the pandemic will pass.

But the pandemic will not pass. It will not pass in Israel, where the vast majority has long since been vaccinated and where it has been shown that vaccinations are useful for about 6 months and then the game starts all over again. The pandemic will not pass in the USA or anywhere else in the world, where it has become apparent: Even the vaccinated will be hit by coming mutations and can become seriously ill. The pandemic will not be over for a very long time. Because the media want to be fed and governments want to be reassured and that they have done all they can. And that if they fail, the media, ready to jump, will pounce on someone else, ideally the unvaccinated.

Where is the broad resistance of the population here? Or at least the resistance of our intelligentsia, who should feel responsible for defending our freedom, our Helvetic DNA as a nation of federalists, the EU's own friars and sceptics of power?

Most members of the National Council and the Council of States seem to have gone into hiding. They second the government, gloss over elementary encroachments on fundamental rights or continue to hide in their silence. And what about the lawyers? What about the judges or constitutional lawyers? It is obvious: in the court of public life and the Federal Office of Public Health, the certificate requirement now means a 'reversal of the burden of proof'. I have to prove that I am not ill. Every lawyer knows that in a constitutional state, a person is presumed innocent until proven guilty. The burden of proof is on the state. If it wants to deprive me of rights, it must prove that I am dangerous (in this case sick). With the certificate, I now have to prove that I am not ill. Where is the protest of the legal scholars?

And what about the feminists, the progressives or the liberals? For years, as long as it was about abortion or euthanasia, they all emphasised: "My body belongs to me!" Since Corona, that suddenly no longer counts. With the compulsory mask and certificate, it is now: "Your body belongs to the community of solidarity". Where is the protest of the feminists, the progressives, the liberals?

And what about the left-wing critics of capitalism and moralists of the Corporate Responsibility Initiative? Just a few months ago, they were railing about evil corporations raking in billions with dubious deals. And now we know that they are raking in even more billions with the Corona vaccines. And they have contractually ensured that they do not have to be liable for the long-term effects of the vaccination. They have agreed on a disclaimer with the buyers, including the Swiss government. The vaccine is a goldmine for them, without any legal risk. Where is the protest of the left-green, anti-capitalist café society?

When you go to a cemetery and notice that the dead are silent, it is a silence that does not make anyone wonder, because the dead do not talk much. But if so many social actors are silent here, then this silence is striking. And somehow telling.

Giuseppe Gracia (54) is a writer and communications consultant. His new novel 'Gloria's Finale' is published by Nagel & Kimche, Zurich.

Source <https://www.dieostschweiz.ch/artikel/zertifizierte-menschen-Dv9vYOg>

"You have been warned!": Unvaccinated Australians are to remain under lockdown

uncut-news.ch, September 9, 2021



Population Control and or New World Order (NWO)

Australians who have not been vaccinated against COVID-19 will remain detained indefinitely while those who have been vaccinated get some of their freedoms back, State Premier Gladys Berejiklian [NSW] told the unvaccinated Australians: "You have been warned!"

The announcement came at the same press conference that Dr. Kerry Chant revealed that COVID contact tracing is part of the "New World Order".

New South Wales officials unveiled a plan to gradually lift the brutal cordoning off of the region, calling it a "roadmap to freedom," which does not include persons who fail to be vaccinated.

Once 70 percent of Australian adults are vaccinated, up to five people will be allowed to meet in their home only if everyone is fully vaccinated (wow).

Up to 20 people can gather outdoors, and some domestic travel will be allowed.

Unvaccinated people, however, are still kept under lock and key and are forever second class citizens, as Berejiklian lectured them like little children.

"Living with COVID means a cautious and gradual reopening once the high vaccination rates are reached in the adult population," said Berejiklian.

"We have of course consulted the national plan, and also took advice from our health experts and stakeholders, to ensure that resumption of vaccination at 70 percent double dose is safe and only applies to persons who have been vaccinated," she added.

"So you have been warned! If you are not vaccinated, get vaccinated, otherwise you will not be able to partake of the many freedoms that persons with a vaccination protection of 70 percent have," said Berejiklian. Those who are fully vaccinated will still have to wear masks, and Berejiklian said the most draconian restrictions could be reintroduced at any time.

"Those who have received double the dose have a free hand, but if there is a rush or unexpected events occur, the government will advise that people in certain areas or cities need to restrict their freedom of movement to avoid being underway all over the country," she said.

The two-class society is of course enforced through vaccination passes, which exclude the unvaccinated from any social life and ultimately from general purchases when the communist Chinese social credit is introduced in western countries.

Now you are beginning to understand what Dr. Kerry Chant meant by the term "New World Order" when she said it.

SOURCE: "YOU HAVE BEEN WARNED!": UNVACCINATED AUSTRALIANS TOLD THEY'LL REMAIN UNDER LOCKDOWN
Quelle: <https://uncutnews.ch/ihr-wurdet-gewarnt-ungeimpfte-australier-sollen-eingesperrt-werden/>

In public comments, doctors and medical professionals are "crucifying" the COVID vaccines.

uncut-news.ch, September 9, 2021

Close to 2,000 comments – and the number is growing – on the Medscape page which asked about medical professionals' concerns about the COVID vaccines, indicate that a significant number of medical professionals are not as supportive of vaccinations as laypeople might think.

From doctors calling the vaccine a "poison called graphene oxide" to others who say "there is more than preponderance of evidence to justify stopping vaccination immediately," the experts had registered 1,782 comments by 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, 7th of September 2021, with hundreds questioning the vaccines or reporting personal experiences of adverse effects from them.

One doctor said the vaccine was "intentionally dangerous, debilitating and fatal". Another said she refuses to even refer to them as "vaccines" because "as most people and health care providers now know, vaccinations are non-sterilizing and do not confer immunity, so the regulations and pressure to vaccinate every human arm on makes no sense to me."

"At any other time in my career when a vaccine has had so many problems (RSV, H1N1, dengue, HIV, rotavirus, deadly measles and several others), the vaccines have been withdrawn," said another doctor. "Considering that more than 99% of people who get COVID survive, the current situation of forcing vaccinations with products that have side effects that can end careers or lives is more than worrying."

SOURCE: MEDSCAPE.COM

SOURCE: <https://uncutnews.ch/in-oeffentlichen-kommentaren-kreuzigen-aerzte-und-medizinisches-fachpersonal-die-covid-impfstoffe>

30-Year-Old Still Seeking Answers 6 Months After Developing Neurological Complications Following Pfizer Vaccine

The Defender, September 9, 2021



childrenshealthdefense.org: In an interview with The Defender, Dominique De Silva described her frustration in trying to get answers for the neurological complications she developed after her first dose of Pfizer's COVID vaccine and with doctors who dismissed her symptoms and refused to acknowledge the vaccine as a possible cause.

By Megan Redshaw

Dominique De Silva, 30, is still searching for answers from doctors after developing a long list of debilitating conditions, including severe neurological complications, pain and at times, an inability to walk, following her first dose of Pfizer's COVID vaccine.

In an interview with The Defender, Dominique, who goes by @QueenCityDom on Instagram, said she was moving from Las Vegas to North Carolina to start her new life, get married and open her real estate practice

when she decided to get vaccinated. Because she has only one living parent, she wanted to protect her mother and other loved ones.

"This virus consumed me to the point where I was wiping down my groceries with Clorox wipes, masking up everywhere I went, staying away from my friends, using hand sanitizer 24/7 and living in complete fear of getting sick," Dominique wrote in an update on her GoFundMe. "So, of course, when I had the opportunity to get my V [vaccine], I took it as soon as I could because I was ready for life to go back to how it was before." On March 18 — two days before her big move — she and her now husband received their first and only dose of Pfizer's vaccine.

Shortly after, Dominique said she felt the typical fatigue she was warned about before getting the vaccine, but she also noticed changes to her vision. "I think I just blew it off because maybe it was just ironic," she said. "It felt like everything was super bright and too much to take in."

Dominique said she felt awful, but thought maybe she was tired from getting ready to move across the country. "I felt like my brain was sick," she said. "That's the best way I can describe it because it is a feeling I had never had before."

The next day, Dominique got on the tram to the airport and said it felt like an elephant was sitting on her chest. "I didn't know what was happening with my heart, but it didn't feel good," she said. "Before I got on the plane, I got hit by the beginnings of a migraine and started seeing spots in my field of vision, but the migraine never actually came."

Within two weeks of receiving Pfizer's vaccine, Dominique noticed cramping pains in her legs that turned into what felt like growing pains late in the evening. The next morning the leg pain returned.

Dominique said: "I got up and I had to grab on to the wall because my legs were giving out on me and the pain started kicking back up. So I walked around my bed to the bathroom and it took a really long time to get from A to B. "My legs were weak and numb, and I had trouble walking. At that moment that's when I realized the vaccine had done something to me."

The pain never went away and after seven days, Dominique decided she couldn't wait for her new insurance to kick in before seeing a doctor.

"My husband took me to the hospital that night and they admitted me," she said. "I let the doctor know I had gotten my vaccine two weeks and three days ago, but he brushed it off and said what I was experiencing was absolutely not connected to the vaccine."

After running a full brain and spine MRI along with countless blood tests, the neurologist on duty was unable to find the cause of Dominique's symptoms. Although some conditions were ruled out, her symptoms were not treated or resolved and she was told to follow up with a neurologist.

After waiting months to get in with three separate neurologists, she said multiple other symptoms began to emerge, including dizziness and vertigo, insomnia, pain in her legs, sharp shooting pains, dull pains, weakness, difficulty walking, brain fog, short-term memory loss, vision issues, waves of anxiety, lack of sensation throughout the body, feeling out of body, depth perception issues, internal vibration, tremors in right hand, tinnitus in right ear, muscle twitches, deep pain in the brain stem, tics, vocal utterances and involuntary eye movements.

The first neurologist Dominique saw was very open to the possibility of the vaccine being the cause, she said. "He said he's seen some weird stuff happening with the vaccines, but he wasn't sure what to do about it," Dominique explained.

Next, Dominique visited a traditional neurologist. "As soon as he heard me say I got my vaccine two weeks before this started happening, it seemed like he disconnected from the appointment," she said.

The neurologist looked at Dominique's MRI and said there "was nothing to see here" and she needed to see a therapist. "We go to these people whom we trust, who told us to get these shots, but when something is wrong with us, we're told it is in our heads," she said.

Dominique then went to a functional neurologist who "heard her loud and clear," she said. The neurologist told her, "Absolutely this is something the vaccine triggered in you."

The doctor told Dominique she was experiencing dystonic storms, and recommended glutathione, turmeric and other supplements to address her dystonic movements and inflammation.

According to the Journal of Clinical Movement Disorders, a dystonic storm is a frightening hyperkinetic movement disorder. Clinical features of a dystonic storm include fever, tachycardia, tachypnea or respiratory change, hypertension, sweating, and autonomic instability. Dystonia can be tonic (i.e. sustained posturing) or phasic (i.e. irregular jerking). Pain is common, and often requires aggressive symptomatic control.

Dominique changed her insurance and got into a specialist at a prestigious hospital for further testing and diagnosis, but it was going to be a four-months wait.

Her symptoms became so bad she went to the emergency room so she could be seen sooner and waited 22 hours until an "episode" occurred and staff finally performed a CT scan to rule out a stroke.

"The CT came back clear," Dominique said. "The doctor started slapping in front of my face, pinched me to get the episode to stop and recommended seizure medication."

Dominique said the doctor didn't recognize the adverse reaction and said, "there's no test to confirm it was caused by the vaccine even if it was." However, a physician's assistant and nurse recognized what she was experiencing as a vaccine injury.

While at the hospital, Dominique also had a D-dimer test to check for blood clots. The result came back positive, but she wasn't taken seriously, she says.

Dominique followed up with a movement specialist who also could not find what was wrong with her and recommended she see a therapist.

"She looked at the April MRI, the CT scans, the EEG, and the office exam," said Dominique. "She came back in and said, 'You are not going to like what I have to say, but I think this is psychosomatic and you need to see a therapist.'"

"Why is another doctor telling me this is in my head?" Dominique said: "This is not in my head."

Dominique made contact with two functional neurologists and a physician in New York, who did blood work and extensive testing that hadn't been done before. Dominique's PET-MRI, EMG nerve study, nerve conduction test and skin biopsy all came back abnormal.

Dominique was diagnosed with postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome (POTS), a condition she did not have prior to being vaccinated, and autoimmune chronic demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy – a rare type of autoimmune disorder where the body attacks the fatty coverings on the fibres that insulate and protect the nerves.

I saw your patient in neurological consultation today. This [redacted]-year-old woman former real estate broker was well except for HT in remission, bloating with wheat, and a head injury in 2016, and sinus issues, until she received the first Pfizer COVID vaccination on March 18, 2021 without prior exposure to SARS-CoV-2. This was followed first by an immediate sense of visual changes and heightened sensation that have persisted. She developed a tremor of the right hand several weeks later, and 2 months later in June 2021 she noted forceful involuntary turning of the head to the left associated with vocal tics, rightward deviation of the eyes, and vocal utterances and stuttering speech that have also continued. She notes difficulty walking and pain in the legs. She has had head pressure and vertigo. She notes dizziness and palpitations and brain fog.

[redacted]

Examination showed distal weakness, sensory loss, hyporeflexia, Romberg sign, tandem imbalance, right hand action tremor, and gaze impersistence.

EMG and NCS of the legs and left arm dated 8/10/2021 showed moderately severe distal acquired autoimmune chronic demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy.

Left calf and thigh epidermal nerve fiber analysis dated 8/10/2021 and forwarded to [redacted] were pending.

Autonomic studies dated 8/10/2021 showed symptomatic orthostatic intolerance with hypotension and reflex tachycardia with HUT.

The findings were consistent with moderately severe distal acquired autoimmune chronic demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy, painful small fiber polyneuropathy, dysautonomia and CNS disturbances manifesting tics, dystonia, and tremor in the setting of prior Pfizer COVID vaccination, gluten sensitivity, HT and remote head injury.

She also had neuropathy and brain scan abnormalities.

Dominique said her doctor in New York believes in vaccines, but said she "obviously had a bad reaction." Dominique sent her results to the neurologist at the prestigious institute, who said her symptoms were "just in her head".

Dominique had not previous exposure to COVID and had a history of autoimmune Hashimoto's thyroiditis, though it had been in remission since 2019. She is currently undergoing more testing to determine the extent of her injuries.

CDC and Pfizer ignore vaccine injury

Dominique contacted a local member of Congress about her vaccine injury, who told her to "take it up with VAERS, the CDC and the manufacturer – none of whom followed up.

Dominique submitted a report to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) and contacted the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) about her vaccine injuries. She also contacted Pfizer, who sent her a survey, which she returned in the mail.

She also reached out to Senator Ron Johnson (R-Wis.), who has been a vocal advocate for government transparency and has recognized others who have been injured by COVID vaccines.

Dominique worked up the courage to share her story on Instagram and it went viral, but she was also harassed, bullied, sent hateful messages and received death threats. She said she has since met many people who are experiencing the same issues and are forced to do their own testing and cover the costs of their injuries.

Dominique started a Go-Fund-Me page to raise money for her medical expenses because there is no financial assistance for people injured by vaccines outside of the Countermeasures Injury Compensation Program, which almost never awards damages.

Dominique has spent thousands of dollars on labs, scans, her PET MRI, skin biopsy, hospital visit – which go to collections – and radiology bills, which she shared on her Instagram and GoFundMe page.

"I'm not here to say do or don't do something with your own body," Dominique said. "Do your own research and make your own decision. I wasn't given that information and I wasn't given that opportunity. The people that are hurt and suffering every day and aren't working need help.

Dominique no longer drives a car and has put her real estate practice on hold. She's not sure if she'll ever return to it. She did get married and said it was a "good day" for her symptoms, but had to figure out how to make room for a wheelchair.

On her Go Fund Me, Dominique said:

"I will never regret why I received my vaccine as I did what I believed was right for myself as well and everyone around me. I had the best intention at heart when I rolled up my sleeve and received my first dose. I knew that there wasn't much data on these very new vaccines, however I trusted the science behind them. Unfortunately, my body reacted terribly to it and has placed me in the situation I am in today.

Although Dominique said she believes in freedom of choice, neither she nor her husband will be getting further doses of any COVID vaccine. "This is happening to a lot of people, they just don't want me to talk about it," she said.

According to the most recent data from VAERS, there have been a total of 14,485 reports of dystonia, dystonic tremors, neurodegenerative disorders, neurological symptoms, neuropathy, polyneuropathy and tremors following COVID vaccination

SOURCE: EXCLUSIVE: 30-YEAR-OLD STILL SEEKING ANSWERS 6 MONTHS AFTER DEVELOPING NEUROLOGICAL COMPLICATIONS FOLLOWING PFIZER VACCINE

Source: <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/dominique-de-silva-covid-vaccine-injury-neurological/>

Doug Casey on the real reason, why the mainstream media is stoking fear

Doug Casey, September 9, 2021



International Man: The mainstream media can dial up the fear in society much like you can with the thermostat in your house. And right now, they seem to be cranking up the fear to hysterical levels. Governments are able to enact radical policies when people are fearful. What is your take on the situation and the media's role in it?

Doug Casey: The great American journalist H.L. Menken once said, "The whole aim of practical politics is to keep the populace alarmed (and hence clamorous to be led to safety) by menacing it with an endless series of hobgoblins, all of them imaginary."

He was right

Fear is one of the most powerful and primal emotions, and government has always used fear to unite the people behind it. The government—which produces nothing—only exists because of fear. Fear of foreigners is allayed by its army. Fear of domestic chaos is allayed by its police.

The media is an ideal way to transmit fear. The media has transformed itself into the government's lapdog. It has the same talking points and communicates "the narrative" in the same way. Government and media

have always worked hand in glove, of course, but today more than ever. There are no longer thousands of independent newspapers scattered across the country, just a few conglomerates that control all significant print and electronic media.

One thing that hasn't changed as far as the media is concerned is the old saying "if it bleeds, it leads." Fear, danger and violence make stories interesting and exciting. They make events urgent—and most important, they make people want to buy newspapers and visit their websites.

I trust neither the government—which has its own interests and enforces them with coercion—or the media which, if it knows what's good for it, either touts the party line or acts like a "loyal opposition" to give readers the impression they actually have real choices.

It's a dishonest and disgusting charade

International Man: CNN, FOX News, MSNBC, *The NY Times*, *The Washington Post*, and others are notorious for sensationalizing stories, dramatizing situations and propagandizing certain issues. How does the mainstream media today contrast with the mainstream media in previous decades?

Doug Casey: They're very similar in essence because the newspaper business wants to sell newspapers, and TV and radio want the public to hear ads. The magazine business wants to sell magazines. The more you sell, the more advertising dollars you generate. That results in a tendency to generate outrageous copy. It's why you must be a sceptical, critical thinker and demand proof for everything.

During the 19th and early 20th centuries, we had the yellow press. Hearst and Pulitzer were famous for pumping up public enthusiasm for wars. Everybody wanted to hear news from the front. There's absolutely nothing better—nothing even close—than a war for generating readers, listeners, and viewers.

The mainstream media hasn't changed much from that point of view. Newspapers and the media have always competed with each other based on outrageous headlines. If they're wrong, you forget them and drive on. If they're right, they never let the booboisie forget it.

People like stuff that's interesting. That hasn't changed much, although today it is more advertising rather than subscription-driven. That is especially the case with the ongoing COVID-19 hysteria. Big pharma has big advertising dollars, and you don't want to make your major advertisers unhappy.

There are, however, other meaningful differences between the media of today and that of years past. First, the people who became reporters in the past weren't college-educated. They were basically street kids who liked to write; they were much closer to the ground level. They actually had to put boots on the ground to get the story.

Today's reporters have all gone to college, as opposed to the school of hard knocks, to learn journalism. They get most of their information from their computer, as opposed to firsthand research, the way reporters used to do it. They now just Google something and accept what somebody else says as fact.

Another big difference is that Washington DC has grown exponentially more important over the last 100 years; there's vastly more reporting about the government in DC than there ever has been in the past.

Reporters once reported about things that they knew, their local scene. Today, unless he's posted in DC, a reporter is nearly irrelevant; all they know is what other people say on the internet. In general, the quality of reporting has gone down tremendously over the years.

International Man: Does real journalism exist today? Where can it be found?

Doug Casey: The so-called "paper of record" in the US is the *New York Times*. I used to read the *NY Times*, but not anymore; it's totally woke. It shouldn't be trusted. I don't even trust their science section anymore. Everything has been very politicized. They try to relate everything to global warming, COVID, or what the government should do to solve some real or—usually—imagined problem. Everything is written through that filter. They even try to tie science issues into Critical Race Theory and gender.

I like to see what people are reading, so I read book reviews. But in the *New York Times*, the *New York Review of Books*, and the *London Review of Books*—I still subscribe to them out of inertia—it seems the majority of books today are written and/or reviewed by people of color (POCs), people with sexual aberrations, or women. And they all seem to grind Left-wing axes.

The Left—the statists and collectivists—has completely captured the mass media, especially the upmarket mass media, like *The Atlantic*, *The New Yorker*, and the publications I just mentioned. They're just vehicles the elite use to talk to each other about being elite.

If you want to subscribe to anything today, I recommend that you consider subscribing to blogs, of which there are thousands on the internet. Look for blogs you think are reliable, and subscribe to select newsletters. Since it's your subscription dollars—not the advertisers' favour—that they have to earn, they generally try to be more intellectually honest, although, at least in the financial realm, many have become nothing but overpriced tout sheets. Be discriminating.

However, there are still some magazines out there I think are good—like *Reason Magazine* and *The Spectator*—but it's slim pickings.

International Man: Large tech companies like Facebook, Twitter, and Google are the new information gatekeepers. Are these companies becoming the new mainstream media in that sense? What are the implications?

Doug Casey: Frankly, these Big Tech companies have had their day in the sun. They're too big to be managed efficiently. They're arrogant and corrupt. And, I suspect that even though everyone uses them, most people no longer like or trust them.

There will be either a change in technology or a change in the public mood that will turn them into dinosaurs. I'm not interested in owning their ultra-expensive stock, and not just because I despise them and their editorial takes; they're stooges for the State.

The fact is the government still can't directly censor things. It's too hard because the First Amendment, at least in name, still exists. But as I said earlier, these media companies work hand in glove with the State. Big Government prefers to work with Big Tech companies like Facebook, Google, Microsoft, and the rest. There's no law against Big Tech censoring somebody—nor should there be—because they're a private business. You certainly don't want to give the government even more power.

This type of "private" censorship is a clever way to get around the Constitution. The fact is that these companies have become unofficial arms of the government—that's part of what the "Deep State" is all about. The good news is that this will eventually cause them to cut their own throats. They'll be superseded by changes in technology and the public mood. Many people—including myself—already deny them support in any way possible.

People who seriously rely on them for their news are basically useless misinformed idiots. Thinking people go elsewhere for news.

International Man: How can the average person find the truth about a given issue?

Doug Casey: First of all, you have to actively cultivate habits of critical thinking. Ask yourself about everything you read or hear from any source: Does this make sense? What's the hidden agenda? What is being omitted? What's being shaded, twisted, and spun?

This is an essential skill. Subject everything to a process of critical thinking. Question everything—especially authority.

Number two is to trust your own eyes, ears, and senses. By that, I don't mean what you see on television or what you read in Google. Things that you see with your own boots on the ground. Actually, talk to people one-to-one to find out what the man on the street is thinking, not what some reporter says he's thinking. Or perhaps I should say feeling since few of the public think.

Try to be your own personal reporter. Put your own boots on the ground and investigate the real world first hand. Don't just accept what some reporter tells you

Source: DOUG CASEY ON THE REAL REASON WHY THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA IS DIALING UP THE FEAR

Source: <https://internationalman.com/articles/doug-casey-on-the-real-reason-why-the-mainstream-media-is-dialing-up-the-fear/>

Ptaah: These are the articles that I consider to be well necessary for the Swiss citizens and that should be published immediately with our discussion report on the FIGU website, because we consider this to be a necessity. Although, according to our directives, we do not want to and are not allowed to interfere in the affairs of earthly concerns, we are given the freedom – strictly according to the rules of the directives – to provide you with the necessary information that you can use according to your conscience. This, we think, is necessary after it has come to light that this Federal Council is issuing such nonsensical regulations that divide the Swiss people into two camps, as has already become the case in other states and leads to their peoples reacting discouragedly, which is basically right, because with such action the personal nature of the human being is violated and disregarded. This, however, is not acceptable in any form, because it disregards the free will of the human being, which must remain unassailable and respected, even in a situation that arises as it does now and which can only be regulated by prescribing, taking and implementing the right measures under constitutional law. Although these interfere with regulation, they do not interfere with the personal of the individual in such a form that he/she would suffer any personal harm as a result. Consequently, it would be reasonable to enact state law that any kind of travelling activity must be prevented and that the wearing of protective masks and the necessary keeping of distance from one human being to another must be the rule and must be observed.

Billy Cleverly worded, I must say. There is really nothing more to talk about, because, simply put, everything depends on intellect and rationality, and that is precisely what is lacking in many of those in power, who brag greatly and wrongly toe the line because their logic only goes just so far as to blather on with half-intelligent or brainless slogans. But when it comes to deciding what is right and doing what is necessary, they fail, just like

those of their indoctrinated followers who are misguided and who, on their own initiative, only produce zeros and trifles, are profiteers – or remain sneaks.

Whether these human beings switch gears and do the right thing or not, remains to be seen, because it is not for me to decide. Besides, I stay out of it – also in a purely political sense. And when I do speak my mind, I do so freely and without specifically attacking politics, but rather talking about those fallible politicians – and thus human beings – who think wrongly and speak and act accordingly. Consequently, I stay away from politics, because when I talk about the fallible governors and their wrong thinking and acting, I am only talking about human beings and what is wrongly thought and equally wrongly acted.

But look here, there is another earthly person besides you whose thinking is clear and free of foolish stupid talk and free of the influence of profit-greedy corporate lies and business lies of the vaccine manufacturers and free of the fear-mongering of the 'expert virologists'. Patricia ... – her surname and where she lives I will keep secret – writes the following concerning a contribution by a Rickli jabberer:

Start of the forwarded message:

To: FIGU Schweiz <info@figu.org>

Subject: Wtr: Vaccination madness

Date: 5. September 2021 13:59:33 MEST

Salome

Dear Core Group

I had been watching 'Zurich News', I am shocked and appalled by the statement of the government councillor Natalie Rickli that the vaccinated should be treated first in the hospital and the unvaccinated should wait outside – how can one have such cruel thoughts? I wouldn't want to be a doctor, because as a doctor you have a duty to help everyone, no matter what race, no matter what religion, no matter if the human being concerned is a criminal or not, no matter if they are vaccinated or not. For example, two patients come to the hospital, both with respiratory distress because of Corona, one is vaccinated and one is not, if I were a doctor I would want to help both and not make any distinction.

Ms Rickli wants to exert pressure and power to dictate that human beings get vaccinated.

Someone once told me that you can make the biggest deals with fear.

Kind Regards Patricia ...

Furthermore, Achim has sent me the following, which again confirms, so to speak, that the Corona virus is not of natural origin, but was created in the laboratory, as was already mentioned by us in 2020 in the ongoing contact reports. The only thing that has not been mentioned so far is the name of the hateful American who achieved with Mao that the virus was 'created' and released, albeit involuntarily. Although in South America Jose ... found out who it was, I have been silent about it, as it should remain. But here now is what else Achim has beamed in this regard, throwing a spanner in the works of the American secret services, who want to find a falsified 'truth' to prove that the Corona virus is of natural origin:

#Francecovid19 #coronavirus #covid

Luc Montagnier HIV Nobel Prize Winner Says Virus "Bio-engineered" in Lab 黄艳玲 17/04/20

2008 Nobel Prize winner Luc Montagnier says that COVID-19 coronavirus disease was artificially created in a lab by biologists working on an AIDS vaccine.

<https://www.researchgate.net/publicat...> <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/med...> 黄艳玲

Professor Luc Montagnier, Professor Didier Raoult, Professor Christian Perronne, Professor Eric Caumes, Doctor Jean-François Toussaint, Doctor Laurent Toubiana. These are world leaders in medicine. Listen to them, they know what they are talking about: it is their job. For months, politicians, doctors and the media have been denying them and destroying their reputation. In whose interest? ... "Civil disobedience becomes a sacred duty when the state becomes outlawed or corrupt" (Ghandi) DEMOCRACY: def. feminine noun A form of government in which sovereignty belongs to the people; a state so governed. To be in a democracy.

Ptaah I think all this is enough to prove what is really behind the 'drivel' as you call it. It typically shows how this person thinks about the citizenry, whose weal and woe she has to determine as a government councillor. Such persons do not belong in a public office, and certainly not in a position that demands responsibility for fellow human beings, as must unquestionably be the case for a government councillor.

In understanding your explanations, I must say to all your explanations that you are really cleverly staying out of politics and purely addressing the machinations of the people who are in politics. And anyone who defines it differently is really not thinking logically.

What you go on to say has – as you are wont to say – hands and feet, and it corresponds to the truth of the events which the leaders of the state are obviously unable to perceive and consequently do not understand. This is something I have never encountered before in relation to the understanding and knowledge I have acquired over some 900 years. Only now do I realise why the leaders of the states of the world think and act in such an unrealistic way, which time and again leads to civil uprisings and demonstrations, to revolutions and civil wars and even to wars between nations and world wars. In the past I did not think about this in relation to earthly humanity, but now it has become clear and understandable in this respect, so I must talk to you more about it.

Billy That won't be a problem, but we can do it privately.

Ptaah Of course. Then let's end this topic, because enough has been said about it. Let's move on to why I need some more time to explain to you why ...

*Translation (and English compilation of others' translations): Vivienne Legg;
corrections: Vibka Wallder and Christian Frehner*